Doc Code: PET.OP

Document Description: Petition for Review by the Office of Petitions

is enclosed herewith.

is enclosed herewith.

В.

The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$_____

has been paid previously on _____

PTO/SB/64 (04-09)

Approved for use through 05/31/2009. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT Docket Number (Optional) 5880 ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) First named inventor: Diefenbacher Application No.: 10/598639 Art Unit: _____ Filed: 2006-09-07 Examiner: _____ Title: Method and Scanning Arrangement for the Contactless Scanning of Three-Dimensional Objects and Device for Ho Attention: Office of Petitions **Mail Stop Petition** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee: (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional 1. Petition Fee Small entity-fee \$_____(37 CFR 1.17(m)). Application claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity-fee \$\frac{1620.00}{} (37 CFR 1.17(m)) 2. Reply and/or fee Α. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a) (identify type of reply): has been filed previously on ______.

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64 (04-09)
Approved for use through 05/31/2009. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

3. Teri	3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee			
'	Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.			
	A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 C other than a small entity) disclaiming the requir			
4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]				
WARNING: Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.				
/C	Charles W. Fallow/		2009-05-01	
01	Signature		Date	
<u> </u>	harles W. Fallow		28946	
Type or Printed name 10 Post Office Road - Suite 100			Registration Number, If applicable 301.589.8900	
			Telephone Number	
Silver Spring MD 20910				
Enclosures: Fee Payment Reply Terminal Disclaimer Form Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay Other: CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]				
I h	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being: Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300. Date Signature			
	-	Typed or printed name	of person signing certificate	

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
- 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No. : 10/598639

Applicant : Diefenbacher et al. Filing date : September 7, 2006

Title : Method and Scanning Arrangement for the Contactless Scanning

of Three-Dimensional Objects and Device for Holding the

Objects

Docket No. : **5880** Customer No. : 26936

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF FACTS REGARDING UNINTENTIONAL ABANDONMENT

On 07 September 2006, applicants filed a timely submission for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the U.S. Basic National Fee.

On 26 April 2007, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating, *inter alia*, that an oath or declaration of the inventors in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(h) were required. The NOTIFICATION set a two-month extendable period for response.

On 13 September 2007, applicants filed a response including a declaration of inventors, the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(h), and a petition fee for a three month extension of time.

On 26 October 2007, the DO/EO/US mailed a NOTIFICATION OF DEFECTIVE RESPONSE (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) indicating, *inter alia*, that the declaration submitted 13 September 2007 was not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) because it did not indicate the inventor Dean Stoops.

On 26 November 2007, applicants filed a reply which included, *inter alia*, a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

On January 18, 2008, the PTO mailed a first decision dismissing the petition without prejudice in part because the Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a) lacked sufficient factual proof that the missing joint inventor refused to execute the application or could not be reached after diligent effort. "Here, it is not clear whether Dean Stoops was presented with a copy of the application papers. ... a refusal has been alleged but no statement of facts has been provided."

In the meantime, inventor Stoops was found and On February 13, 2008, a renewed petition was filed, accompanied by a declaration bearing his signature and a separate declaration bearing Mr. Diefenbacher's signature.

On March 17, 2008, the renewed petition was dismissed as "moot". That decision was misinterpreted as having been positive and therefore no response was believed to be due initially; however, during a routine status check in October, 2009, the undersigned realized that a new declaration had been required by the decision.

A new declaration was prepared; however, further attempts by the attorneys representing the applicants to contact Mr. Stoops in 2008 were unsuccessful. On November 18, 2008, the undersigned wrote Mr. Stoops directly, advising him that a new declaration was required. No reply to this letter was ever received.

On January 12, 2009, we learned from our foreign associates of a company address in California where Mr. Stoops might be found. However, our telephone calls to the company were not answered and messages we left on the company's answering machine were not replied to.

On February 10, the undersigned wrote Mr. Stoops again, respectfully demanding that he sign the declaration or refuse to do so. A copy of the application as filed was attached to the letter. On February 17, Federal Express reported that the letter had been delivered and signed for on February 14.

Mr. Stoops signed the new declaration on March 9 and returned it to our office on or about March 23, 2009. Mr. Diefenbacher's signature was subsequently obtained and received in our office on April 24.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that the making of willful false statements or the like is punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

/Charles Fallow/

Charles W. Fallow, Reg. No. 28,946